Driven generalized quantum Rayleigh-van der Pol oscillators Q

A. J. Sudler Blume Research Group



Synchronization

- phase locking and entrainment of self-sustained oscillator systems
 - i.e. pacemakers, blinking fireflies
- self-sustained: supporting asymptotic finite amplitude oscillations
- well understood classically



[1] Harvard Natural Sciences Lecture Demonstrations, https://sciencedemonstrations.fas.harvard.edu/presentations/syn chronization-metronomes



[2] Max Planck Society, "Classical synchronization indicates persistent entanglement in isolated quantum systems". https://phys.org/news/2017-05-classicalsynchronization-persistent-entanglement-isolated.html 2

Quantum Synchronization Motivation

- less understood in the quantum regime
- exciting area for quantum technology
 - biology, telecommunications, etc.
- big questions:
 - 1. differences in single-oscillator systems?
 - 2. what is coupled with what?
 - 3. how does the response change?



[3] Frank, Adam. "What is quantum mechanics trying to tell us?", 2 June 2022. https://bigthink.com/13-8/quantummechanics-interpretation/



[2] Max Planck Society. "Classical synchronization indicates persistent entanglement in isolated quantum systems". https://phys.org/news/2017-05classical-synchronization-persistent-entanglement-isolated.html

Background: generalized Rayleigh-van der Pol (R-vdP) oscillators

0

Х

- three gain/dissipative processes:
 - 1. linear energy gain, proportional to \dot{x}
 - 2. van der Pol (vdP) damping: nonlinear energy loss proportional to x^2
 - 3. **Rayleigh (R)** damping: non-linear energy loss proportional to \dot{x}^2



- self-sustained
 - limit cycles







Quantum Description

- quantum gain/dissipative processes:
 - 1. one-excitation gain (γ_1^+)
 - 2. one-excitation loss (γ_1^-)
 - 3. two-excitation loss (γ_2)
- $\langle \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} \rangle$ describes "quantumness"
- Wigner functions as a phase-space analog





Quantum Limit Cycles



Quantum vs. Classical Comparison



R-vdP vdP -0.032 0.016 -5-5-50 50 -0.12-0.06 -2.5 0.0 2.5 -2.5 0.0 2.5 -2.5 0.0 2.5 -2.5 0.0 2.5 -2.5 0.0 2.5 -0.2-0.1

-2 0

x

2

-2 0 2

-2 0 2

-2 0

Synchronizing Quantum Systems

- systems synchronized by external drive (ω_D, Ω)
- angular preference apparent in phase space

5.0 -

2.5 -

·× 0.0-

-2.5 -

-5.0 -

-5.0

-2.5

0.0

X

2.5



quantified by synchronization (S) defined by the mean

- resultant length calculated from $\hat{\phi}$
- 0 < S < 1



 x_2

Synchronization and Drive Strength





 Ω = drive strength

 $\Delta = \omega_D - \omega_0 = \text{detuning}$

For a larger detuning, we need a higher drive strength to achieve the same synchronization.

The classical regime experiences the highest synchronization for no detuning.

Future Direction with Synchronization

- Continue to monitor results as the higher-energy regimes approach convergence
 - Rotations and reference frames
- Couple several quantum oscillators to one another to explore synchronization effects
- Spin vs. Spatial degrees of freedom



[4] Brookhaven National Laboratory. "Spin Physics". https://www.bnl.gov/rhic/spin.php



Acknowledgements and References

- Thank you to Dr. Blume!!!
- Thanks to all the graduate students in the group who have helped me—Jugal, Dave, and Kevin.

[1] Harvard Natural Sciences Lecture Demonstrations, https://sciencedemonstrations.fas.harvard.edu/presentations/synchronization-metronomes

[2] Max Planck Society, "Classical synchronization indicates persistent entanglement in isolated quantum systems". https://phys.org/news/2017-05-classical-synchronization-persistent-entanglement-isolated.html

[3] Frank, Adam. "What is quantum mechanics trying to tell us?", 2 June 2022. https://bigthink.com/13-8/quantum-mechanics-interpretation/

[4] Brookhaven National Laboratory. "Spin Physics". https://www.bnl.gov/rhic/spin.php

Questions?