

Hot-Carrier Effects in Narrow Gap InAs & GaSb Materials: Potential for Next Generation Photovoltaics



V.R. Whiteside¹, J. Tang¹, S. Vijeyaragunathan¹, H. Esmaielpour¹, T.D. Mishima¹, M.B. Santos¹, and I.R. Sellers¹ 1 University of Oklahoma



- InAs QW optical design
- Photoluminescence measurements: Temperature and Power
 Dependence
- Hot Carrier Effects: Red and Blue laser excitation



InAs Based Optical Structures





- GaAs substrate as trial
- AIAs_{.16}Sb_{.84} latticed matched to InAs
- InAs buffer layer 2 microns thick to minimize strain
- X and L valley energy separation: InAs > GaAs
 Desirable to not pump higher energy states
- Effective band gap tunable to 0.7 eV



Conduction & Valence Band Offsets





http://hdl.handle.net/1802/12773, J.R. Pedrazzani Thesis (Ph. D.)--University of Rochester. Institute of Optics, 2010

x = 0.16 Indirect band gap ~ 1.7 eV Direct band gap ~ 2.5 eV

Thin barriers expect direct band gap absorption to dominate

T673: 2.4 nm InAs QWs 10.0 nm AIAsSb Barrier



Depth (Angstroms)

Multiple confined states: Good for impact ionization Complication for selective extraction of hot carriers



InAs 2.4 nm Superlattice and MQW PL







InAs MQW E vs T

Temp





1450

Wavelength (nm)

1500

1400

Localization due to alloy fluctuations typical of narrow well widths : Temperature and Power Dependent





InAs MQW Temperature and Power Dependence



41.28 mW

21.15 mW 8.5 mW

300

Temperature (K)

34 mW





InAs MQW Power Dependence





Low powers rapid change in peak energy

Higher powers leveling off of peak energy

77 K & 90 K power dependence behaves more like type II

200 K and 295 K more like type I

Type II power dependence based on triangular quantum well

$$\label{eq:expansion} \begin{split} \varepsilon & \propto \sqrt{I} \\ E_{\rm e} = {\it const} \, \varepsilon^{2/3} \equiv b I^{1/3} \end{split}$$

Ledentsov et al., PRB Vol. **52**, (19) 14058, C. Weisbuch, B. Vintner, Quantum Semiconductor Structures, p. 20 (Academic, Boston, 1991)





InAs QWs fitting methods





Hirst et al., IEEE J. of Photovoltaics, Vol. 4, No. 1, January 2013







Typical change in slope of high energy tail (broadening) as a function of power

Photovoltaics Materials & Device Group, University of Oklahoma: http://www.nhn.ou.edu/~sellers/group/index.html

0

0.80

0.85

Wavelength (nm)

0.90







Low Temperature Regime:

Increasing ΔT wrt Power for all Temp

Temp increases slope of ΔT decreases

High Temperature Regime:

Holes delocalized \Rightarrow electron pileup

ΔT wrt Power nearly independent Temp increases slope of **ΔT** becomes level



InAs QWs Carrier Temperature





High Temperature Regime:

Low Temperature Regime:

Increasing ΔT wrt Power for all Temp

Temp increases slope of ΔT decreases

Holes delocalized \Rightarrow electron pileup

ΔT wrt Power nearly independent

Temp increases slope of ΔT becomes level

Equivalent Suns (1000 W/m²)





Summary



Future measurements:

Repeat with 975 nm laser line to confirm trends that have been observed with 442 and 633 nm lines

Magnetic field measurements to probe the nature of localization confinement

Preliminary results for hot carrier optical studies:

InAs QW structure can be tuned to 0.7 eV band gap for hot carrier solar cells

Band offsets for AIAsSb/InAs superlattice structure are such that there are energy states in QW suitable for Impact ionization

Blue laser shows more pronounced effects than red laser Carrier temperature appears to be correlated to localization Temperatures > 150 K leveling of carrier temperature wrt laser power