## Chapter 18

## Dynamical Variables and Time Evolution

Consider, for example, the angular momentum,

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{S}.\tag{18.1}$$

In general,  ${\bf R},\,{\bf P},\,{\bf S}$  change in time; these are examples of dynamical variables.

Let v(t) be a dynamical variable (a particular one, or the whole class of dynamical variables). Under the displacement of the time origin,

$$\bar{t} = t - \delta t, \quad U = 1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} (-\delta t H),$$
(18.2)

the new function of the new time equals the old function at the old time:

$$v(t) = \bar{v}(\bar{t}) = \bar{v}(t - \delta t), \qquad (18.3)$$

or, by relabeling  $t \to t + \delta t$ ,

$$\bar{v}(t) = v(t + \delta t). \tag{18.4}$$

The only thing that matters is the relative time displacement. The quantum mechanical version of this is generally

$$\bar{X} = U^{-1}XU, \quad U = 1 + \frac{i}{\hbar}G,$$
 (18.5)

or

$$\bar{X} = X - \delta X, \quad \delta X = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [X, G].$$
 (18.6)

On the other hand, by Taylor expanding Eq. (18.4) we have

$$\bar{v}(t) = v(t) + \delta t \frac{d}{dt} v(t) = v(t) - \delta v(t), \qquad (18.7)$$

161 Version of September 30, 2012

## 162 Version of September 30, 2012 CHAPTER 18. DYNAMICAL VARIABLES AND TIME EVOLUTIO

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\delta v(t) = -\delta t \frac{d}{dt} v(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [v(t), -\delta tH], \qquad (18.8)$$

or

boost generator,

$$\frac{d}{dt}v(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[v(t), H].$$
(18.9)

The energy operator, or Hamiltonian, describes how the system evolves in time.

Suppose we have a function F(v(t), t) which involves a dynamical variable as well as involving the time explicitly. We have seen an example of this in the

$$\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{P}t - M\mathbf{R}.\tag{18.10}$$

Under the unitary time-evolution operator, the function changes:

$$\bar{F} = U^{-1}F(v(t),t)U = F(U^{-1}v(t)U,t) = F(\bar{v}(t),t) = F(v(t),t) - \frac{1}{i\hbar}[F,-\delta tH],$$
(18.11)

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\frac{F(\bar{v}(t),t) - F(v(t),t)}{\delta t} = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[F,H].$$
(18.12)

The left-hand side of this equation means, in the limit  $\delta t \to 0$ 

$$\frac{F(v(t+\delta t),t) - F(v(t),t)}{\delta t} \to \frac{d}{dt}F(v(t),t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}F(v(t),t), \qquad (18.13)$$

where the total derivative acts on both v(t) and t, while the partial derivative removes that part of the time derivative which comes from the explicit appearance of t. Thus we obtain the general formula, for a function of a dynamical variable,

$$\frac{d}{dt}F = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}F + \frac{1}{i\hbar}[F,H], \qquad (18.14)$$

which generalizes the equation (18.9) for the time evolution of a dynamical variable.

As an example, consider the momentum [see Eq. (17.64a)],

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{P}(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathbf{P}(t), H] = 0, \qquad (18.15)$$

because there is no explicit appearance of t, because displacements make no reference to time. This states that momentum is *conserved*. (Implicitly, we are considering the whole system with no external forces; a completely described isolated system.) The angular momentum statement is similar, from Eq. (17.64b)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{J}(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathbf{J}(t), H] = 0, \qquad (18.16)$$

or angular momentum is conserved. What about boosts, where, as noted above, t appears explicitly? From Eq. (17.64c),

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{N}(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{N}(t) + \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathbf{N}(t), H] = \mathbf{P} + \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathbf{N}, H] = 0, \qquad (18.17)$$

so  ${\bf N}$  is also a constant in time.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{N} = 0, \quad \mathbf{N}(t) = \mathbf{P}(t)t - M\mathbf{R}(t).$$
(18.18)

How does this happen? We know  ${\bf P}$  is constant, so

$$0 = \frac{d\mathbf{N}}{dt} = \mathbf{P} - M \frac{d\mathbf{R}(t)}{dt},$$
(18.19)

or

$$\mathbf{P} = M\mathbf{V}(t), \quad \mathbf{V}(t) = \frac{d\mathbf{R}(t)}{dt}, \quad (18.20)$$

in terms of the velocity of the system. Thus the velocity  $\mathbf{V}$  (of the center of mass) is a constant,  $\mathbf{P}/M$ . Again the identification of M with mass is seen. Thus we conclude

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}}{M} = \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{R}(t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathbf{R}(t), H].$$
(18.21)

Therefore, this last gives information about the structure of the energy operator.

As noted above  $\mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$  are like q and p three times over, where recall that for a finite displacement

$$e^{-iq'p}qe^{iq'p} = q - q', (18.22)$$

so analogously,

$$e^{-i\mathbf{R}'\cdot\mathbf{P}/\hbar}\mathbf{R}e^{i\mathbf{R}'\cdot\mathbf{P}/\hbar} = \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}'.$$
(18.23)

As in homework, we prove this directly by differentiation with respect to  $\mathbf{R}'$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial R'_l} \left( e^{-i\mathbf{R'}\cdot\mathbf{P}/\hbar} R_k e^{i\mathbf{R'}\cdot\mathbf{P}/\hbar} \right) = e^{-i\mathbf{R'}\cdot\mathbf{P}/\hbar} \frac{i}{\hbar} [R_k, P_l] e^{i\mathbf{R'}\cdot\mathbf{P}/\hbar} = -\delta_{kl} = -\frac{\partial R'_k}{\partial R'_l},$$
(18.24)

because the various components of  $\mathbf{P}$  commute,

$$[P_k, P_l] = 0. (18.25)$$

Supplying the constant of integration, we obtain the expected result,

$$e^{-i\mathbf{R}'\cdot\mathbf{P}/\hbar}\mathbf{R}e^{i\mathbf{R}'\cdot\mathbf{P}/\hbar} = \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}'.$$
(18.26)

Similarly, the counterpart to

$$e^{ip'q}pe^{-ip'q} = p - p' \tag{18.27}$$

is

$$e^{i\mathbf{P}'\cdot\mathbf{R}/\hbar}\mathbf{P}e^{-i\mathbf{P}'\cdot\mathbf{R}/\hbar} = \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}', \qquad (18.28)$$

because the different components of  $\mathbf{R}$  commute,

$$[R_k, R_l] = 0. (18.29)$$

Then it follows for any function of  ${\bf R}$  and  ${\bf P}$  that

$$e^{i\mathbf{P}'\cdot\mathbf{R}/\hbar}F(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P})e^{-i\mathbf{P}'\cdot\mathbf{R}/\hbar} = F(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{P}').$$
(18.30)

Let's go to the infinitesimal limit,  $\mathbf{P}' \rightarrow \delta \mathbf{P}'$ ,

$$e^{i\delta\mathbf{P'}\cdot\mathbf{R}/\hbar}F(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P})e^{-i\delta\mathbf{P'}\cdot\mathbf{R}/\hbar} = F(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{P}-\delta\mathbf{P'}),$$
(18.31)

or

$$F + \frac{i}{\hbar} [\delta \mathbf{P}' \cdot \mathbf{R}, F] = F - \delta \mathbf{P}' \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{P}'} = F - \delta P'_x \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_x} - \delta P'_y \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_y} - \delta P'_z \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_z}, \quad (18.32)$$

or succinctly,

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathbf{R},F] = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{P}}.$$
(18.33)

This formula is an immediate generalization of

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[R_k, P_l] = \delta_{kl}, \quad [R_k, R_l] = 0.$$
(18.34)

In fact if  $F = P_l$ , this equation says

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[R_k, P_l] = \frac{\partial P_l}{\partial P_k} = \delta_{kl}, \qquad (18.35)$$

while if  $F = R_l$ ,

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[R_k, R_l] = \frac{\partial R_l}{\partial P_k} = 0.$$
(18.36)

In the same way, from Eq. (18.26), we can show

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathbf{P},F] = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{R}},\tag{18.37}$$

where again if  $F = R_l$ ,

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[P_k, R_l] = -\frac{\partial R_l}{\partial R_k} = -\delta_{kl}, \qquad (18.38)$$

and if  $F = P_l$ ,

$$\frac{1}{i\hbar}[P_k, P_l] = -\frac{\partial P_l}{\partial R_k} = 0.$$
(18.39)

Return at last to Eq. (18.21),

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}}{M} = \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} [\mathbf{R}(t), H] = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{P}},$$
(18.40)

which looks like Hamilton's equation. This implies that

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2M} + H_{\text{int}},\tag{18.41}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial H_{\rm int}}{\partial \mathbf{P}} = 0. \tag{18.42}$$

 $H_{\rm int}$  is called the internal energy, whereas  $\mathbf{P}^2/2M$  is the part of the energy referring to the motion of the system as a whole. The momenta also advance in time at a known rate,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} = 0 = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathbf{P}, H] = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\mathbf{P}, H_{\text{int}}], \qquad (18.43)$$

or

$$0 = \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H_{\text{int}}}{\partial \mathbf{R}},\tag{18.44}$$

which is the other set of Hamilton's equations. These results say that  $H_{\text{int}}$  does not depend on either **R** or **P**.

Classical mechanics is derived by taking  $\hbar \to 0$ , so that the quantum of action is negligible, operator properties are irrelevant). But we see that Hamilton's equations hold in general.