Quasar Narrow UV Absorbers: Useful Probes of Disk Winds and Outflows?

Marianne Vestergaard University of Arizona

St John, November 30, 2005

Why are Quasar Narrow Absorption Lines of Interest?

- Intrinsic?
 - Quasar structure
- Origin: Winds/Outflows?
 - Quasar feedback on environment
 - Effect on galaxy formation & evolution?
- Frequency of Occurrence → additional probes of feedback?

Overview

- Basic statistics of quasar Civ λ 1549 NALs
- Crude tests of disk-wind scenario possible
- The $z \approx$ 2 BALs radiatively driven as for the low-z BQS?
- Evidence for radiative acceleration of NALs?
- NALs radiatively launched from disk?

Quasar sample

- Representative $z \approx 2$ quasar sample $(1.5 \le z \le 3.6)$
- 114 Radio-loud and radio-quiet quasars (66; 48)

(Barthel, Tytler, & Thomson 1990; Vestergaard 2000)

- RLQs and RQQs match in M_V and z
- No selection based on absorption properties
- Range in RLQ source inclination (R_{5GHz} and R_V)
- Narrow CIV λ 1549 absorption lines measured to ~20,000 25,000 km/s from emission redshift ($z_{\rm em}$)

Sample Spectra



Basic Narrow Absorption Properties



- Completeness $\ge 95\%$ at velocities below ± 6000 km/s
- 3σ detection limit = 0.5Å, measured to a completeness level of ~95%
- 80% of NALs have EW ≥ 0.5 Å
- Most RQQs NALs: EW > 0.5Å

Basic Narrow Absorption Properties

- Frequency of Occurrence: (both RLQs and RQQs alike)
 - All NALs (velocity < 21,000 km/s): $\sim 50\% \pm 7\%$
 - NALs with EW ≥ 0.5 Å : $\sim 40\% \pm 5\%$
 - NALs with EW ≥ 1 Å: $\sim 35\% \pm 5\%$
 - "Associated" NALs : $\sim 25\% \pm 5\%$ (EW \geq 1Å; velocity \leq 5000 km/s)

Inclination Dependent Absorption

- Absorbed quasars have redder UV continua $F \propto \lambda^{\alpha}$, $<\alpha_{UV}>$: -1.47 ±0.6 vs. -1.99±0.6 (unabsorbed) KS-test: α -distributions are different at 99.95% level
- Stronger absorption in
 - more inclined radio-loud quasars
 - redder quasars (especially radio-loud quasars)
- Luminosity dependence
 - Radio-quiets: EW \propto L
 - Radio-louds: EW \propto L^-1 ?

NALs in Radio-loud Quasars

- Stronger NALs in radio sources with:
 - Larger
 inclination *i*
 - Largest size



NALs in Radio-loud Quasars

- Stronger NALs in radio sources with:
 - Larger inclinationLargest size

Squares: CSS Triangles: Lobe-dom. Q Asterisks: Core-dom. Q



(H₀=50 km/s/Mpc; $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.0$)

NALs in Radio-loud Quasars

- Stronger NALs in radio sources with:
 - Largest sizeLarger inclination
- Molonglo radio sources: stronger NALs in most compact quasars
 - Relatively weaker quasars
 - Younger sources?
 - => larger samples needed



(H₀=50 km/s/Mpc; $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.0$)

Inclination Dependent Absorption

- Absorbed quasars have redder UV continua
 F ∞λ^α, <α_{UV}> : -1.47 ±0.6 vs. -1.99±0.6 (unabsorbed)
 KS-test: α-distributions are different at 99.95% level
- Stronger absorption in
 - redder quasars (especially radio-loud quasars)
 - more inclined radio-loud quasars
- Luminosity dependence
 - Radio-quiets: EW \propto L
 - Radio-louds: EW \propto L^-1 ?

(H₀=50 km/s/Mpc; $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.0$)

Luminosity Dependent Absorption

Radio-quiets:
 EW ∝ L

NALs only

• Radio-louds: $EW \propto L^{-1}$?

Solid Triangles: CSS Circles: GPS





Luminosity Dependent Absorption



(Vestergaard 2003, ApJ 599, 116)

(H₀=50 km/s/Mpc; $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.0$)





wind-producing conditions: T_{disk} and $L_D^{eff} = L_D F_{max} > L_{Edd}$ (e.g., Proga 2002)

Disk-Wind Scenarios

Can Qualitatively Explain:

- Existence BALs, NALs, WAs, and BELs
- BAL non-variability
- The AL frequencies, EW, velocities, incl. scarcity of BALs in RLQs and Seyfert 1s
- NAL are failed BALs?

Importance of α_{OX} (τ_X / τ_{UV})

Low-z BQS and α_{OX}



• Low-z soft X-ray weak quasars have large α_{OX}

• BAL quasars are the most luminous subset of soft X-ray weak quasars

• Intrinsic α_{OX} is steep?

Comparison with low-z BQS



 $z \approx 2$ BAL quasars fall on extension of low-z soft X-ray weak quasars (including BAL quasars; Laor & Brandt (2002))

(Vestergaard 2005, ApJ, submitted)

 $(H_0 = 50 \text{ km/s/Mpc}; \Omega_\Lambda = 0.0)$

Masses of Distant Quasars

• $M_{BH} \propto FWHM^2(CIV) L^{0.7}$

(Vestergaard 2002, ApJ 571, 733)

- Masses representative of distant quasars
- M_{BH} ≈ 10⁹ M_☉ on average



(Vestergaard 2004, ApJ 601, 676)

Testing Basic Disk-Wind Predictions

• $L_{BOL}/L_{Edd} - EW| L(1550Å)$: partial- $\tau = 0.55$, P=0.0035% (EW≥3Å) partial- $\tau = -0.38$, P=0.010% (1Å≤EW≤3Å)



(Vestergaard 2005, ApJ, submitted)

 $(H_0 = 50 \text{ km/s/Mpc}; \Omega_\Lambda = 0.0)$

Testing Basic Disk-Wind Predictions

$$\mathcal{V}_{\text{terminal}}^2 = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} 2 \left(1 - \frac{L_{\text{BOL}}}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right) \frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{r_{\text{i}}} = C(\alpha, L/L_{\text{Edd}}) \gamma_{\text{BLR}}^2$$

(e.g., Castor et al. 1975)

$$\frac{v_{\text{term}}}{v_{\text{BLR}}} = \sqrt{C} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} 2 \left(1 - \frac{L_{\text{BOL}}}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right)$$

Testing Basic Disk-Wind Predictions

• $L_{BOL}/L_{Edd} - V_{max}/V_{BLR}|V_{BLR}$: partial- τ =0.24, P=0.0038% | M_{BH} : partial- τ =0.33, P=0.0006%



BALs+NALs (EW≥1Å): BQS and z≈2 Qs

$$\frac{v_{\text{term}}}{v_{\text{BLR}}} = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} 2 \left(1 - \frac{L_{\text{BOL}}}{L_{\text{Edd}}} \right)$$

 $(H_0 = 50 \text{ km/s/Mpc}; \Omega_\Lambda = 0.0)$

(Vestergaard 2005, ApJ, submitted)

Disk Temperature at Launching Radius

 $T_{disk}(r) = 3 \times 10^7 m^{-1/4} \dot{m}^{1/4} r^{-3/4} (1 - r^{-1/2})^{1/4} \text{ K}; r = R/9m$ (SS74)



$$\alpha \approx 0.6 - 0.9$$

(Murray & Chiang 1995; Proga et al. 2000)

Favorable disk temperature for wind launching: $T_{disk} \approx 8,000 - 50,000 \text{ K}$ (Proga etal. 2000; Proga & Kallman 2004)

Value of C ? - L/L_{Edd} dependence OK?

Complex velocity structure?

Main Results And Future

- Frequency of Civλ1549 NALs is 25-40% across quasar radio type
- Crude tests of disk-wind scenario possible
- z ≈ 2 BAL outflows likely radiatively driven in similar manner as for the low-z BQS
- Suggestive evidence for radiative acceleration of some CIVλ1549 NALs (EW >~ 3Å)
- Estimated T_{DISK} at launching radii promising, given uncertainties Can we do better?

Future:

- XMM-Newton Cycle 5 proposal: α_{OX} measurements
- Test on larger size samples
- More theoretical work needed