

Note on a Casimir Energy Calculation for a Purely Dielectric Cylinder by Mode Summation

August Romeo and Kimball A. Milton[‡]

Oklahoma Center for High Energy Physics and Homer L. Dodge Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA

Abstract. We comment on a recent calculation of the zero-point energy for a dilute and infinitely long cylinder of purely-dielectric material. The vanishing result predicted by integration of van der Waals potentials is obtained.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Pq, 42.50.Lc, 11.10.Gh, 03.50.De

The Casimir effect is a change in the electromagnetic vacuum fluctuations brought about by the presence of boundaries. Particularly, cylindrical surfaces limiting dielectric media were considered in [1]. One of the first versions of that paper inspired an unpublished calculation, by Romeo, of the van der Waals energy for a purely dielectric cylinder in the dilute-dielectric approximation, which yielded a null result. That calculation found a tribune in appendix B of the final version of [1] and, eventually, unpublished work by Milonni and ref.[2] by Barton provided independent confirmations.

This finding aroused curiosity about the corresponding Casimir energy, which would have to show the predicted equality between both quantities [3] and, therefore, was expected to vanish similarly. The divergences of this problem were studied through its heat kernel coefficients in [4], and the expected vanishing was first verified in [5], where the Casimir pressure was obtained from the expectation value of the stress-energy tensor using Green's functions. Next, a calculation of the Casimir energy based on the mode summation method [6] was completed. The present note offers a comment on that work.

Let J_m , H_m denote the Bessel and Hankel functions (for $y > 0$, $H_m(y) \equiv H_m^{(1)}(y)$). Given an infinitely long cylinder of radius a , oriented along the z -axis, with permittivity and permeability (ϵ_1, μ_1) , surrounded by a medium with permittivity and permeability (ϵ_2, μ_2) , the eigenfrequencies ω of the Maxwell equations with the adequate boundary

[‡] on sabbatical leave at the Department of Physics, Washington University, St. Louis, MO 63130 USA

conditions are the solutions of:

$$f_m(k_z, \omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \left[\Delta_m^{\text{TE}}(x, y) \Delta_m^{\text{TM}}(x, y) - m^2 \frac{a^4 \omega^2 k_z^2}{x^2 y^2} (\varepsilon_1 \mu_1 - \varepsilon_2 \mu_2)^2 J_m^2(x) H_m^2(y) \right] \quad (1)$$

(see [7, 1]), where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= -\frac{2i}{\pi}, \\ \Delta_m^{\text{TE}}(x, y) &= \mu_1 y J'_m(x) H_m(y) - \mu_2 x J_m(x) H'_m(y), \\ \Delta_m^{\text{TM}}(x, y) &= \varepsilon_1 y J'_m(x) H_m(y) - \varepsilon_2 x J_m(x) H'_m(y), \\ x &= \lambda_1 a, \quad y = \lambda_2 a, \quad \lambda_i^2 = \varepsilon_i \mu_i \omega^2 - k_z^2, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The m index is the azimuthal quantum number, k_z is the momentum along the cylinder axis, and p labels the zeroes of $f_m(k_z, \omega)$. In fact $f_m = -\Delta^{-2} \Xi$, being Ξ the same object as in [5] and Δ^{-2} a factor introduced for convenience. The velocities of light in each media are $c_i = (\varepsilon_i \mu_i)^{-1/2}$, $i = 1, 2$.

If medium 1 is purely dielectric and medium 2 is vacuum, $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$, $\mu_1 = 1$, $\varepsilon_2 = \mu_2 = 1$ (obviously, $c_2 = 1$). Further,

$$\omega = a^{-1}(y^2 + \hat{k}^2)^{1/2}, \quad x^2 = y^2 + (\varepsilon - 1)(y^2 + \hat{k}^2), \quad \hat{k} \equiv k_z a. \quad (3)$$

The Casimir energy per unit length stems from the mode sum

$$\mathcal{E}_C = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_z}{2\pi} \sum_m \sum_p \omega_{m,p,k_z}, \quad (4)$$

which is divergent, and will be regularized appropriately (see below). Reference [4] tells us that, up through the order of $(\varepsilon - 1)^2$, there are no ambiguities, because the heat kernel coefficient which would multiply them is of $\mathcal{O}((\varepsilon - 1)^3)$. Thus, we may just set

$$\mathcal{E}_C(s) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_z}{2\pi} \sum_m \sum_p \omega_{m,p,k_z}^{-s} = \frac{\hbar}{2} a^{s-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\hat{k}}{2\pi} \sum_m \sum_p (y_{m,p}^2 + \hat{k}^2)^{-s/2}, \quad (5)$$

without any additional mass scale. $\mathcal{E}_C(s)$ is a function of the complex variable s , and our idea is to redefine (4) by analytic continuation of this function to $s = -1$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{E}_C = \lim_{s \rightarrow -1} \mathcal{E}_C(s). \quad (6)$$

Once that \hat{k} , m have specific values, the sum over p is expressed as a contour integral in complex y plane:

$$\mathcal{E}_C(s) = \frac{\hbar}{2} a^{s-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\hat{k}}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{s}{2\pi i} \int_C dy y (y^2 + \hat{k}^2)^{-s/2-1} \ln f_m, \quad (7)$$

where C is a circuit enclosing all the y values corresponding to the positive zeroes of f_m (the argument principle [8] derived from the residue theorem). When applying this method, one sometimes finds an asymptotic form $f_{m,\text{as}}$ of f_m and then subtracts $\ln f_{m,\text{as}}$ from $\ln f_m$ in the integrand. In fact, the factors introduced in (1) relative to the original

f_m of [1] have the same effect as having divided that function by the leading part of $f_{m,as}$.

At this point, the logarithm function of (7) is expanded in powers of $(\varepsilon - 1)$, taking y as an independent variable and x as a function of y , \hat{k} , ε (see (3)). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln f_m = & \left[L_{m1}^0(y) + L_{m1}^1(y)(y^2 + \hat{k}^2) \right] (\varepsilon - 1) \\ & + \left[L_{m2}^{00}(y) + L_{m2}^{10}(y)(y^2 + \hat{k}^2) + L_{m2}^{20}(y)(y^2 + \hat{k}^2)^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + L_{m2}^{11}(y)(y^2 + \hat{k}^2) \hat{k}^2 \right] (\varepsilon - 1)^2 \\ & + \mathcal{O}((\varepsilon - 1)^3), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m1}^0(y) &= \frac{1}{\Delta} y J'_m(y) H_m(y), \\ L_{m1}^1(y) &= \frac{1}{\Delta y} \Delta_m^{(1,0)}(y), \\ L_{m2}^{00}(y) &= -\frac{1}{2\Delta^2} y^2 J_m'^2(y) H_m^2(y), \\ L_{m2}^{10}(y) &= -\frac{1}{2\Delta^2} \left[\Delta_m^{(1,0)}(y) J'_m(y) H_m(y) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\Delta}{y} \left(J'_m(y) + y \left(1 - \frac{m^2}{y^2} \right) J_m(y) \right) H_m(y) \right], \\ L_{m2}^{20}(y) &= L_{m2}^{20A}(y) + L_{m2}^{20B}(y), \quad \begin{cases} L_{m2}^{20A}(y) = \frac{1}{4\Delta y^2} \left(\Delta_m^{(2,0)}(y) - \frac{1}{y} \Delta_m^{(1,0)}(y) \right), \\ L_{m2}^{20B}(y) = -\frac{1}{4\Delta^2 y^2} \left(\Delta_m^{(1,0)}(y) \right)^2, \end{cases} \\ L_{m2}^{11}(y) &= -\frac{m^2}{\Delta^2 y^4} J_m^2(y) H_m^2(y), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_m^{(1,0)}(y) &= -\frac{1}{y} \left[y^2 J'_m(y) H'_m(y) + (y^2 - m^2) J_m(y) H_m(y) \right] - (J_m(y) H_m(y))', \\ \Delta_m^{(2,0)}(y) &= \left(\Delta_m^{(1,0)}(y) \right)' - \left(1 - \frac{m^2 + 1}{y^2} \right) \Delta, \quad \left(\Delta_m^{(1,0)}(y) \right)' \equiv \frac{d}{dy} \Delta_m^{(1,0)}(y). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Now, (8) is inserted into (7). The obtained expression involves integrals of the form

$$I \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\hat{k} \int_C dy y F(y) (y^2 + \hat{k}^2)^{-\alpha} \hat{k}^{2\beta}, \quad (11)$$

where C is the contour of (7) and F satisfies $F(-iv) = F(iv)$ for $v \in \mathbb{R}$, as well as having good asymptotic properties (the role of F is played by the L_m 's of (9),(10)). Examining the $(y^2 + \hat{k}^2)$ powers in (7), (8), one sees that, in the required cases, $\alpha = s/2 + 1, s/2, s/2 - 1$, and $\beta = 0$ except for one integral with $\beta = 1$. Analytic continuation in s obviously amounts to analytic continuation in α . Following [6], the value of I is given by

$$I = -2i \text{B} \left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \alpha \right) \sin(\pi\alpha) \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{2-2\alpha+2\beta} F(iv), \quad (12)$$

where B denotes the Euler beta function (about the mathematical basis, see also [9, 10]). Note that for $s = -1$, i.e., $\alpha = 1/2, -1/2, -3/2$, and for $\beta = 0, 1$, the beta and sine functions are finite. Application of formula (12) to Eqs. (7), (8) gives:

$$\mathcal{E}_C(s) = \mathcal{E}_{C1}(s)(\varepsilon - 1) + \mathcal{E}_{C2}(s)(\varepsilon - 1)^2 + \mathcal{O}((\varepsilon - 1)^3), \quad (13)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{C1}(s) = \mathcal{E}_{C1}^0(s) + \mathcal{E}_{C1}^1(s), \\ \mathcal{E}_{C1}^0(s) = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{2\pi^2} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(-\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{-s} L_{m1}^0(iv), \\ \mathcal{E}_{C1}^1(s) = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{2\pi^2} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{2-s} L_{m1}^1(iv), \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_{C2}(s) = \mathcal{E}_{C2}^{00}(s) + \mathcal{E}_{C2}^{10}(s) + \mathcal{E}_{C2}^{20A}(s) + \mathcal{E}_{C2}^{20B}(s) + \mathcal{E}_{C2}^{11}(s), \\ \mathcal{E}_{C2}^{00}(s) = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{2\pi^2} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(-\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{-s} L_{m2}^{00}(iv), \\ \mathcal{E}_{C2}^{10}(s) = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{2\pi^2} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{2-s} L_{m2}^{10}(iv), \\ \mathcal{E}_{C2}^{20A,B}(s) = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{2\pi^2} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, 2 - \frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(-\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{4-s} L_{m2}^{20A,B}(iv), \\ \mathcal{E}_{C2}^{11}(s) = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{2\pi^2} B\left(\frac{3}{2}, 1 - \frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{4-s} L_{m2}^{11}(iv). \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

With $\mathcal{E}_{C1}^0(s)$ taken from (14), and $L_{m1}^0(iv)$ from (9), we arrive at

$$\mathcal{E}_{C1}^0(s) = -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{2\pi^2} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(-\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{1-s} I'_m(v) K_m(v). \quad (16)$$

The beta and sine functions are already finite at $s = -1$, and the integral will be reexpressed by introducing the factor $1 = -vW[I_m(v), K_m(v)] = -v[I_m(v)K'_m(v) - I'_m(v)K_m(v)]$ for every m :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{1-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I'_m(v) K_m(v) = \\ & - \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{2-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I_m(v) I'_m(v) K_m(v) K'_m(v) + \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{2-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I_m^2(v) K_m^2(v). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The summations over m will be performed by taking advantage of the addition theorem for the modified Bessel functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I_m(kr) K_m(k\rho) e^{im\phi} &= K_0(kR(r, \rho, \phi)) \\ R(r, \rho, \phi) &= \sqrt{r^2 + \rho^2 - 2r\rho \cos \phi}, \quad \rho > r. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Suitable manipulations of this identity ([11, 12, 5, 6]) yield:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty dv v^{2-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m'^2(v) K_m^2(v) &= \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{2-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty K_m'^2(v) I_m^2(v) &= \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{2-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m(v) I_m'(v) K_m(v) K_m'(v) &= \frac{1}{8\pi^{1/2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{3-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(3-s)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})} \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{2-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty m^2 I_m(v) I_m'(v) K_m(v) K_m'(v) &= \frac{1}{16\pi^{1/2}} \frac{\Gamma^4(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{s-2}{2})}{\Gamma(5-s) \Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})} \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{4-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m'^2(v) K_m'^2(v) &= \frac{1}{8\pi^{1/2}} \left[\frac{\Gamma^4(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(5-s) \Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})} \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{3-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{s-2}{2})}{\Gamma(4-s) \Gamma(\frac{s-1}{2})} \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{3-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(3-s)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s-4}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s-3}{2})} \right] \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{4-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m^2(v) K_m^2(v) &= \frac{1}{8\pi^{1/2}} \frac{\Gamma^4(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{s-4}{2})}{\Gamma(5-s) \Gamma(\frac{s-3}{2})} \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{2-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty m^2 I_m^2(v) K_m^2(v) &= \frac{1}{16\pi^{1/2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{7-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{3-s}{2})}{\Gamma(5-s)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s-4}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s-2}{2})} \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty m^4 I_m^2(v) K_m^2(v) &= \frac{1}{8\pi^{1/2}} \left[\frac{3}{4} \frac{\Gamma^4(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{s-4}{2})}{\Gamma(5-s) \Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})} \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{3-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{s-4}{2})}{\Gamma(4-s) \Gamma(\frac{s-1}{2})} \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{3-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(3-s)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s-4}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s-3}{2})} \right] \tag{19} \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{3-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m'^2(v) K_m(v) K_m'(v) &= \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{3-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty K_m'^2(v) I_m(v) I_m'(v) &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^{1/2}} \left[\frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{3-s}{2})}{\Gamma(4-s)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{3-s}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})}{\Gamma(3-s)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s-2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s-1}{2})} \right] \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{3-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m^2(v) K_m(v) K_m'(v) &= \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{3-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty K_m^2(v) I_m(v) I_m'(v) &= -\frac{1}{8\pi^{1/2}} \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{3-s}{2})}{\Gamma(4-s)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s-2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s-1}{2})} \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{1-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty m^2 I_m^2(v) K_m(v) K_m'(v) &= \\
\int_0^\infty dv v^{1-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty m^2 K_m^2(v) I_m(v) I_m'(v) &= -\frac{1}{16\pi^{1/2}} \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{5-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{3-s}{2})}{\Gamma(4-s)} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s-2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{s+1}{2})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Although the left hand side of each integral is not initially defined for $s = -1$, the right hand side together with the remaining s dependent factors in $\mathcal{E}_C(s)$ will eventually provide the desired extension to negative s through the existing analytic continuations of the involved functions. Then, the poles at $s = -1, -3, -5, \dots$ in the last dividing

gamma functions, will give rise to zeros at these points.

Going back to $\mathcal{E}_{C1}^0(s)$, since (19) show that the two integrals in the second line of (17) have the same value,

$$\mathcal{E}_{C1}^0(s) = 0, \quad (20)$$

even before setting $s = -1$.

Formulas (14) tell us that $\mathcal{E}_{C1}^1(s)$ involves the integration of the $L_{m1}^1(iv)$ function, defined by (9), (10). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{C1}^1(s) &= -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{2\pi^2} \text{B} \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{s}{2} \right) \sin \left(\pi \frac{s}{2} \right) \\ &\times \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{2-s} \left[I'_m(v)K'_m(v) - \left(1 + \frac{m^2}{v^2} \right) I_m(v)K_m(v) + \frac{1}{v} (I_m(v)K_m(v))' \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

We multiply, again, each term in the m summation of (21) by $1 = -vW[I_m(v), K_m(v)]$, and turn the initial expression into a linear combination of integrals with summations of products of four Bessel functions. That linear combination yields an identically null result — one that is zero for any s value — by virtue of the symmetries observed in (19) under interchange of different Bessel function types (see also comment after Eqs. (80) in [5]). As a result,

$$\mathcal{E}_{C1}^1(s) = 0. \quad (22)$$

Equation (21) admits the following reinterpretation. Taking into account the fact that I_m, K_m satisfy the modified Bessel equation, we apply partial integration to (21) omitting a ‘boundary term’ which vanishes for a given s range that does not include $s = -1$ yet. Doing so, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{C1}^1(s) &= -\frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{2\pi^2} \text{B} \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{s}{2} \right) \sin \left(\pi \frac{s}{2} \right) \\ &\times \left[\int_0^{\infty} dv v^{1-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (I_m(v)K_m(v))' + \frac{2}{1-s} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^{2-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I_m(v)K_m(v) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

These integrals cannot be straightforwardly taken at $s = -1$ but, if this is ignored, we may formally put $s = -1$ and get

$$\mathcal{E}_{C1}^1(-1) \rightarrow -\frac{\hbar}{8\pi a^2} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^2 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (I_m(v)K_m(v))' - \frac{\hbar}{8\pi a^2} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^3 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I_m(v)K_m(v). \quad (24)$$

The first part could arguably be dismissed as a mere contact term because, from (18), it may be shown that it is local in v (In fact it is possible to obtain $\lim_{\phi \rightarrow 0} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (I_m(v)K_m(v))' e^{im\phi} = -\frac{1}{v}$). The second part of (24) cancels the bulk contribution found in [5] (See formulas (72), (78) there and recall that the Casimir radial pressure is $P_C = \frac{1}{\pi a^2} \mathcal{E}_C$.)

Viewed in a different way, by the arguments in [13] (and references therein) all linear terms in $(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1)$ have to be removed because they are the self-energy of the electromagnetic field due to polarizable particles. By that rule, one simply must take

out the linear part, regardless of its particular form. This is actually a re-statement of the physical reason for the removal of the bulk contribution.

When going on to second order in $(\varepsilon - 1)$, we take first the piece called $\mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{20A}(s)$, as its calculation is most similar to that of $\mathcal{E}_{C_1}^0(s)$, $\mathcal{E}_{C_1}^1(s)$. From the $\mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{20A}(s)$ given in (15), the $L_{m2}^{20A}(y)$ in (9), expressions (10) with $y = iv$, introducing, once more, $1 = -vW[I_m(v), K_m(v)]$, and using the same reasoning that led to (22), one gets

$$\mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{20A}(s) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Now, selecting the lines in (15), which determine $\mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{00}(s)$, $\mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{10}(s)$, $\mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{20B}(s)$, $\mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{11}(s)$, the parts of (9) which define $L_{m2}^{00}(y)$, $L_{m2}^{10}(y)$, $L_{m2}^{20B}(y)$, $L_{m2}^{11}(y)$, the form of $\Delta_m^{(1,0)}(y)$ dictated by (10) (its square for the case of $L_{m2}^{20B}(y)$), and setting $y = iv$, we come to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{00}(s) &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{4\pi^2} \text{B}\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(-\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_0^\infty dv v^{2-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty I_m'^2(v) K_m^2(v), \\ \mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{10}(s) &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{4\pi^2} \text{B}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_0^\infty dv v^{2-s} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty \left[2I_m(v)I_m'(v)K_m(v)K_m'(v) + v I_m'^2(v)K_m(v)K_m'(v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(v + \frac{m^2}{v}\right) I_m^2(v)K_m(v)K_m'(v) \right], \\ \mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{20B}(s) &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{8\pi^2} \text{B}\left(\frac{1}{2}, 2 - \frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(-\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_0^\infty dv v^{2-s} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty \left[I_m'^2(v)K_m^2(v) + I_m^2(v)K_m'^2(v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(1 - v^2 - m^2)I_m(v)I_m'(v)K_m(v)K_m'(v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + v^2 I_m'^2(v)K_m'^2(v) + \left(v^2 + 2m^2 + \frac{m^4}{v^2}\right) I_m^2(v)K_m^2(v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2v \left(I_m'^2(v)K_m(v)K_m'(v) + I_m(v)I_m'(v)K_m'^2(v) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \left(v + \frac{m^2}{v} \right) \left(I_m(v)I_m'(v)K_m^2(v) + I_m^2(v)K_m(v)K_m'(v) \right) \right], \\ \mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{11}(s) &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{sa^{s-1}}{2\pi^2} \text{B}\left(\frac{3}{2}, 1 - \frac{s}{2}\right) \sin\left(\pi\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_0^\infty dv v^{-s} \sum_{m=-\infty}^\infty m^2 I_m^2(v) K_m^2(v). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The outcome of replacing the results (19) into (26) and expanding in $(s + 1)$ is:

$$\mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{00}(s) + \mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{10}(s) + \mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{20B}(s) + \mathcal{E}_{C_2}^{11}(s) = \frac{\hbar}{a^2} \hat{\mathcal{E}}(s + 1) + \mathcal{O}((s + 1)^2), \quad (27)$$

with $\hat{\mathcal{E}} = \frac{23}{5760\pi}$. Formulas (25) and (27) make evident that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow -1} \mathcal{E}_{C_2}(s) = 0, \quad (28)$$

i.e., the $(\varepsilon - 1)^2$ contribution to the Casimir energy per unit length in the dilute-dielectric approximation is zero, as we wished to prove.

Employing a regularization which analytically continues the vacuum energy as a function of the eigenmode power, we have found a pure Casimir term (in the sense

of [2]) that is seen to vanish to the order of $(\varepsilon - 1)^2$. Remarkably, for the analogous problem with light velocity conservation condition [1, 12] the result is null to the order of $\xi^2 \equiv \left(\frac{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}\right)^2$. In fact, we have applied a form of zeta function regularization, whose links to other techniques have been studied in e.g. [14]. The sight of (19) makes us evoke the words of [15] and proclaim that a forest of gamma functions has grown out of an analytic continuation.

A divergence at third order in $(\varepsilon - 1)$ introduces an unavoidable ambiguity [4] (for further discussions on divergences see [16].) No universal agreement exists on the physical interpretation of the technique used, as commented in [15]. The nature of a third order divergence, viewed as a weak-coupling limit, has been considered in [17].

Acknowledgments

A.R. thanks V.V. Nesterenko, M. Bordag and I.G. Pirozhenko for observations and comments. The authors acknowledge useful conversations with Inés Caverro-Peláez. The work of K.A.M. is supported in part by a grant from the U.S. Department of Energy.

References

- [1] Milton KA, Nesterenko AV and Nesterenko VV 1999 *Phys. Rev. D* **59** 105009 (*Preprint hep-th/9711168 v3*. The v1 version did not include the first author)
- [2] Barton G 2001 *J. Phys. A* **34** 4083
- [3] Milton KA and Ng YJ 1997 *Phys. Rev. E* **55** 4207; Brevik IH, Marachevsky VN and Milton KA 1999 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** 3948; Barton G 1999 *J. Phys. A* **32** 525
- [4] Bordag M and Pirozhenko IG 2001 *Phys. Rev. D* **64** 025019 (*Preprint hep-th/0102193*)
- [5] Caverro-Peláez I and Milton KA 2005 *Ann. Phys. NY* **320** 108 (*Preprint hep-th/0412135 v2*)
- [6] Romeo A and Milton KA 2005 *Phys. Lett. B* **621** 309 (*Preprint hep-th/0504207*)
- [7] Stratton JA 1941 *Electromagnetic Theory* (McGraw-Hill)
- [8] van Kampen NG, Nijboer BRA and Schram K 1968 *Phys. Lett. A* **26** 307
- [9] Milton KA, DeRaad LL and Schwinger JS 1978 *Ann. Phys. NY* **115** 388
- [10] Brevik I, Jensen B and Milton KA 2001 *Phys. Rev. D* **64** 088701 (*Preprint hep-th/0004041*)
- [11] Gradshteyn IS and Ryzhik IM 1994 *Table of Integrals, Series and Products, Fifth Ed.* (Acad. Press)
- [12] Klich I 2000 *Phys. Rev. D* **61** 025004 (*Preprint hep-th/9908101*); Klich I and Romeo A 2000 *Phys. Lett. B* **476** 369 (*Preprint hep-th/9912223*)
- [13] Lambiase G, Scarpetta G and Nesterenko VV 2001 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **16** 1983 (*Preprint hep-th/9912176*)
- [14] Cognola G, Vanzo L and Zerbini S 1992 *J. Math. Phys.* **33** 222; Beneventano CG and Santangelo EM 1996 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **11** 2871 (*Preprint hep-th/9501122*)
- [15] Fulling SA 2003 *J. Phys. A* **36** 6857 (*Preprint quant-ph/0302117*)
- [16] Graham N, Jaffe RL, Khemani V, Quandt M, Schroeder O and Weigel H 2004 *Nucl. Phys. B* **677** 379 (*Preprint hep-th/0309130*) and references therein
- [17] Caverro-Peláez I, Milton KA and Wagner J 2005 *Preprint hep-th/0508001 v2*