Chapter 5

Non-Abelian Gauge Fields

The simplest example starts with two Fermions (Dirac particles) ψ_1 , ψ_2 , degenerate in mass, and hence satisfying in the absence of interactions

$$\left(\gamma \frac{1}{i}\partial + m\right)\psi_1 = 0, \quad \left(\gamma \frac{1}{i}\partial + m\right)\psi_2 = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

We can define a two-component object $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with the associated action

$$W = \int (dx)\mathcal{L}, \quad \mathcal{L} = -\overline{\psi}\left(\gamma \frac{1}{i}\partial + m\right)\psi.$$
 (5.2)

If U is a constant 2×2 matrix, \mathcal{L} is invariant under the replacement $\psi \to U\psi$, provided U is unitary,

$$U^{\dagger}U = UU^{\dagger} = 1. \tag{5.3}$$

We know that the most general unitary 2×2 matrix, apart from a pure phase factor [U(1) transformation], can be written in terms of the Pauli matrices τ as

$$U = e^{i\boldsymbol{\lambda}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}} = \cos|\boldsymbol{\lambda}| + i\frac{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}}{|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|}\sin|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|$$

= $\begin{pmatrix} \cos\boldsymbol{\lambda} + i\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{3}\sin\boldsymbol{\lambda} & (i\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{1} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{2})\sin\boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ (i\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{1} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{2})\sin\boldsymbol{\lambda} & \cos\boldsymbol{\lambda} - i\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{3}\sin\boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{pmatrix},$ (5.4)

where λ is a arbitrary vector. The generators of these transformations are the Pauli matrices, which obey the algebra

$$[\tau^a, \tau^b] = 2i\epsilon^{abc}\tau^c. \tag{5.5}$$

Because

$$\det U = \cos^2 \lambda + (\hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_3^2 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_1^2 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_2^2) \sin^2 \lambda = 1, \qquad (5.6)$$

this actually represents an SU(2) transformation.

61 Version of April 27, 2005

62 Version of April 27, 2005 CHAPTER 5. NON-ABELIAN GAUGE FIELDS

Now suppose we gauge the symmetry, by letting $\lambda \to \lambda(x)$. Then \mathcal{L} is not invariant,

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = -\overline{\psi} U^{\dagger} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{i} (\partial_{\mu} U) \psi \approx -\partial_{\mu} \delta \lambda \cdot \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \psi, \qquad (5.7)$$

for $\delta \lambda$ infinitesimal. We see here the conserved "isospin" current [compare (2.33)]

$$\delta W = -2 \int (dx) \partial_{\mu} \delta \mathbf{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{j}^{\mu} = 0, \qquad (5.8)$$

where

$$\mathbf{j}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \psi, \quad \text{or} \quad j^{a}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{a} \psi, \tag{5.9}$$

which is conserved, by the stationary action principle,

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = 0. \tag{5.10}$$

How can we cancel $\delta \mathcal{L}$ identically? Evidently, by couping to this current a *triplet* of vector fields,

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mu} = (A_{\mu}^1, A_{\mu}^2, A_{\mu}^3), \tag{5.11}$$

as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int}^{I} = g \mathbf{A}_{\mu} \cdot \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\tau}{2} \psi, \qquad (5.12)$$

where under an infinitesimal gauge transformation

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mu} \to \mathbf{A}_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu} \delta \boldsymbol{\omega}, \tag{5.13}$$

where $\delta \boldsymbol{\omega}$ is related to $\delta \boldsymbol{\lambda}$:

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} = \frac{g}{2} \delta \boldsymbol{\omega}. \tag{5.14}$$

But this is not the whole story! That is because the ψ variation of \mathcal{L}_{int}^{I} is

$$\delta_{\psi} \mathcal{L}_{int}^{I} = -\overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \left[\frac{\tau}{2} \cdot g \mathbf{A}_{\mu}, \frac{i}{2} g \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \right] \psi$$
$$= g^{2} \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\tau}{2} \cdot (\mathbf{A}_{\mu} \times \delta \boldsymbol{\omega}) \psi \neq 0.$$
(5.15)

This will be cancelled if we modify our \mathbf{A}_{μ} variation to

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mu} \to \mathbf{A}_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu} \delta \boldsymbol{\omega} - g \delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{A}_{\mu}.$$
 (5.16)

This last is in fact the transformation law for a vector under an ordinary rotation. Mathematically, we say that these *gauge fields* transform as the spin-1 (adjoint) representation of SU(2).

Now assemble the Fermion parts of \mathcal{L} :

$$\mathcal{L}_f = -\overline{\psi} \left(\gamma \frac{1}{i} D + m \right) \psi, \qquad (5.17)$$

where the gauge covariant derivative is

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - \frac{i}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu}.$$
 (5.18)

Under a gauge transformation,

$$D_{\mu} \to U^{\dagger} D^U_{\mu} U = D_{\mu}. \tag{5.19}$$

That is,

$$D^{U}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig\frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{U}_{\mu}$$

$$= UD_{\mu}U^{\dagger} = U\left(\partial_{\mu} - \frac{i}{2}g\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu}\right)U^{\dagger}$$

$$= \partial_{\mu} - \frac{i}{2}gU\boldsymbol{\tau}U^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu} + U\partial_{\mu}U^{\dagger}, \qquad (5.20)$$

which says

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{U}_{\mu} = U \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} U^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu} + \frac{i}{g} U \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger}, \qquad (5.21)$$

which generalizes the infinitesimal transformation given in (5.16). Indeed if

$$U = 1 + ig\delta\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2},\tag{5.22}$$

we get

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu}^{U} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu} - \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2}, ig\delta\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2}\right] \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu} - \frac{i}{g}ig\frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \cdot \partial_{\mu}\delta\boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu} - i\frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \cdot ig\mathbf{A}_{\mu} \times \delta\boldsymbol{\omega} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \cdot \partial_{\mu}\delta\boldsymbol{\omega},$$
(5.23)

which agrees with (5.16).

It is obviously convenient to define a matrix representation for the gauge fields: $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

$$A_{\mu} = \frac{\tau}{2} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu}.$$
 (5.24)

Then the above gauge transformation (5.21) reads

$$A^{U}_{\mu} = U A_{\mu} U^{\dagger} + \frac{i}{g} U \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger}, \qquad (5.25)$$

and the covariant derivative is

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igA_{\mu}. \tag{5.26}$$

This last includes the Abelian case, where $U = e^{ie\lambda}$, $\tau/2 \to 1$, which is a U(1) gauge group. To pick out the components of the gauge field, we recall that

$$\tau_a \tau_b = \delta_{ab} + i \epsilon_{abd} \tau_c, \qquad (5.27a)$$

64 Version of April 27, 2005 CHAPTER 5. NON-ABELIAN GAUGE FIELDS

so because $\operatorname{Tr} 1 = 2$ we have

$$\operatorname{Tr} \tau_a \tau_b = 2\delta_{ab}. \tag{5.27b}$$

Therefore

$$A^{a}_{\mu} = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tau_{a}\frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2}\cdot\mathbf{A}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tau_{a}A_{\mu}\right).$$
(5.28)

Is the above interaction, minimal substitution, the end of the story? No, because we must consider the gauge field part of the action. Now

$$[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}] = [\partial_{\mu} - igA_{\mu}, \partial_{\nu} - igA_{\nu}]$$

= $-ig(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}) - g^{2}[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}].$ (5.29)

Because our fields are non-Abelian, this last commutator is nonzero. Explicitly,

$$[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}] = \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu}, \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\nu}\right] = \frac{i}{2}\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot (\mathbf{A}_{\mu} \times \mathbf{A}_{\nu}).$$
(5.30)

We will define the commutator as the field strength,

$$[D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}] = -igF_{\mu\nu}, \tag{5.31}$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}) - ig[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}].$$
(5.32)

In terms of components, related to $F_{\mu\nu}$ by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\tau}{2} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (5.33)$$

we have

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + g \epsilon^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu, \qquad (5.34a)$$

or

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\mathbf{A}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\mathbf{A}_{\mu} + g\mathbf{A}_{\mu} \times \mathbf{A}_{\nu}.$$
 (5.34b)

Why is this a useful quantity? Because it transforms covariatly,

$$F_{\mu\nu} \to F^U_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{g} [D^U_\mu, D^U_\nu] = U F_{\mu\nu} U^{\dagger},$$
 (5.35)

unlike the potential, as seen in (5.25). In infinitesimal form this means

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} - g\delta\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}.$$
 (5.36)

From the field strength, the gauge field part of the Lagrangian can be constructed. (Why? Because it's gauge invariant!)

$$\mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}.$$
 (5.37)

Under a gauge transformation, $\mathcal{L}_g \to \mathcal{L}_g$. Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{L}_{g} = -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_{\mu} \mathbf{A}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \mathbf{A}_{\mu}) \cdot (\partial^{\mu} \mathbf{A}^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} \mathbf{A}^{\mu}) - \frac{g}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \mathbf{A}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \mathbf{A}_{\nu}) \cdot (\mathbf{A}^{\mu} \times \mathbf{A}^{\nu}) - \frac{g^{2}}{4} (\mathbf{A}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{\mu} \mathbf{A}_{\nu} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{\nu} - \mathbf{A}_{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\nu} \mathbf{A}^{\mu} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{\nu}),$$
(5.38)

because

$$\epsilon^{abc}\epsilon^{ade} = \delta^{bd}\delta^{ce} - \delta^{be}\delta^{cd}.$$
 (5.39)

Note that the requirement of gauge invariance necessarily leads to cubic and quartic self-interactions of the gauge field, with the same coupling constnat as appears in the gauge-field-fermion interaction.

5.1 Summary

For an arbitrary gauge group, the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\overline{\psi} \left(\gamma \frac{1}{i} D + m \right) \psi - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} F^2, \qquad (5.40)$$

where the gauge covariant derivative is

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igA_{\mu}, \tag{5.41}$$

and the gauge-covariant field strength is

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - ig[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}].$$
(5.42)

This Lagrangian is invariant under the gauge transformations

$$\psi \to U\psi,$$
 (5.43a)

$$A_{\mu} \to U A_{\mu} U^{\dagger} + \frac{i}{g} U \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger},$$
 (5.43b)

$$F_{\mu\nu} \to U F_{\mu\nu} U^{\dagger}.$$
 (5.43c)

For SU(2), more explicitly, the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\overline{\psi} \left[\gamma^{\mu} \frac{1}{i} \left(\partial_{\mu} - ig \frac{\tau}{2} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{\mu} \right) + m \right] \psi - \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (5.44)$$

where the field strength is

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\mathbf{A}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\mathbf{A}_{\mu} + g\mathbf{A}_{\mu} \times \mathbf{A}_{\nu}.$$
 (5.45)

The gauge transformations are

$$U = e^{ig\boldsymbol{\omega}\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}/2},\tag{5.46}$$

66 Version of April 27, 2005 CHAPTER 5. NON-ABELIAN GAUGE FIELDS

so for an infinitesimal transformation, $\omega \to \delta \omega$,

$$\psi \to \left(1 + ig\delta\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2}\right)\psi,$$
(5.47a)

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mu} \to \mathbf{A}_{\mu} - g\delta\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{A}_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\delta\boldsymbol{\omega}, \qquad (5.47b)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} \to \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} - g\delta\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{5.47c}$$

From the Lagrangian we can derive the equations of motion: Varying with respect to $\overline{\psi}$ gives the gauge-covariant Dirac equation,

$$\left(\gamma^{\mu}\frac{1}{i}D_{\mu}+m\right)\psi=0.$$
(5.48)

Under a δA_{μ} transformation,

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} g \delta A_{\mu} \psi - \operatorname{Tr} F^{\mu\nu} \left(2 \partial_{\mu} \delta A_{\nu} - 2ig A_{\mu} \delta A_{\nu} - 2ig \delta A_{\mu} A_{\nu} \right), \qquad (5.49)$$

so for SU(2) the change in the action is

$$\delta W = \int (dx) \delta \mathbf{A}_{\mu} \cdot \left(\overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} g \frac{\tau}{2} \psi + \partial_{\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\nu\mu} + g \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} \times \mathbf{A}_{\nu} \right), \qquad (5.50)$$

where we have used (5.45). Thus, the Yang-Mills equation (the generalization of Maxwell's equation) is

$$\partial_{\nu} \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{j}^{\mu}, \qquad (5.51)$$

with the current

$$\mathbf{j}^{\mu} = \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} g \frac{\boldsymbol{\tau}}{2} \psi + g \mathbf{A}_{\nu} \times \mathbf{F}^{\nu \mu}.$$
 (5.52)

The current has both fermion and gauge-boson pieces. Alternatively, we can define a gauge covariant derivative for the adjoint (isospin-1) representation of SU(2) by

$$\mathcal{D}_{\nu} = \mathbf{1}\partial_{\nu} - g\mathbf{A}_{\nu} \times , \qquad (5.53a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\nu} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{\mu\nu} = g \mathbf{j}_{f}^{\mu} = g \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \frac{\tau}{2} \psi, \qquad (5.53b)$$

where \mathcal{D}_{ν} is a tensor, with components

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\nu})_{ab} = \delta_{ab}\partial_{\nu} + g\epsilon_{abc}A^{c}_{\nu}.$$
 (5.53c)