

We must be careful here because

$$\partial_\mu \delta J^\mu = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Thus we have the freedom to add to δW

$$0 = - \int (dx) \lambda(x) \partial_\mu \delta J^\mu(x), \quad (4.16)$$

where λ is an arbitrary function (Lagrange multiplier). Therefore, we conclude that

$$A_\mu(x) = \int (dx') D_+(x - x') J_\mu(x') + \partial_\mu \lambda(x). \quad (4.17)$$

λ represents the gauge freedom of the electromagnetic field. What equation does A_μ satisfy? Since $\partial_\mu A^\mu = \partial^2 \lambda$,

$$-\partial^2 A_\mu = J_\mu - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu A^\nu, \quad (4.18)$$

or

$$\partial^\nu (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) = \partial^\nu F_{\mu\nu} = J_\mu, \quad (4.19)$$

so we have recovered the Maxwell equations. Now we can follow the path we trod for a scalar field:

$$W[J] = \frac{1}{2} \int (dx) J^\mu A_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \int (dx) \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} A_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \int (dx) F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4.20)$$

so we deduce the *action* form,

$$W[J, A] = \int (dx) [J^\mu A_\mu + \mathcal{L}], \quad (4.21)$$

where the Maxwell Lagrange density is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4.22)$$

Because $\delta W = \int (dx) \delta J^\mu A_\mu$, we conclude that $W[J, A]$ is *stationary* with respect to field variations, from which follows the Maxwell equations.

4.2 Spin-1/2

Denote the spin-1/2 (Dirac) source by η , a four-component object. Is it correct to write simply $(\eta \gamma^0 \psi)$ is a scalar)

$$\langle 0_+ | 0_- \rangle_0^\eta = \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \int (dx) (dx') \eta(x) \gamma^0 \Delta_+(x - x') \eta(x') \right]? \quad (4.23)$$

No, because physically there are only two degrees of freedom for a spin-1/2 particle. Recalling that the rest-frame spinors are eigenvectors of γ^0 with eigenvalue +1, the restriction to the physical degrees of freedom is accomplished by inserting the projection operator

$$m - \gamma \frac{1}{i} \partial \rightarrow m - \gamma p \rightarrow m(1 + \gamma^0), \quad (4.24)$$

where the first replacement occurs in momentum space, and the second in the rest frame. We take then as our vacuum persistence amplitude

$$\langle 0_+ | 0_- \rangle_0^\eta = \exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \int (dx)(dx') \eta(x) \gamma^0 G_+(x - x') \eta(x') \right], \quad (4.25)$$

where the spin-1/2 Green's function is

$$\begin{aligned} G_+(x - x') &= \left(m - \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{i} \partial_\mu \right) \Delta_+(x - x') \\ &= \int \frac{(dp)}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{m - \gamma p}{m^2 + p^2 - i\epsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

or in momentum space,

$$G_+(p) = \frac{1}{m + \gamma p - i\epsilon}. \quad (4.27)$$

But there is something rather strange about this construction. $\gamma^0 G_+(x - x')$ is totally antisymmetrical, under interchange of both space-time and Dirac coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma^0 G_+(x' - x)]^T &= \left[m\gamma^0 - \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{i} \partial'_\mu \right]^T \Delta_+(x' - x) \\ &= \left[-m\gamma^0 - \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{i} (-\partial_\mu) \right] \Delta_+(x - x') \\ &= -\gamma^0 G_+(x - x'). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Correspondingly, the η_ζ (where $\zeta = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is the Dirac index) must be *anti-commuting* numbers, that is elements of a Grassmann or exterior algebra:

$$\eta_\zeta(x) \eta_{\zeta'}(x') = -\eta_{\zeta'}(x') \eta_\zeta(x). \quad (4.29)$$

This makes the generating function nonzero:

$$\begin{aligned} W[\eta] &= \int (dx)(dx') \sum_{\zeta\zeta'} \eta_\zeta(x) \underbrace{[\gamma^0 G_+(x - x')]_{\zeta\zeta'}}_{-[\gamma^0 G_+(x' - x)]_{\zeta'\zeta}} \eta_{\zeta'}(x') \\ &= \int (dx)(dx') \sum_{\zeta\zeta'} \eta_{\zeta'}(x') [\gamma^0 G_+(x' - x)]_{\zeta'\zeta} \eta_\zeta(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

We now may easily verify that the probability requirement is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq |\langle 0_+ | 0_- \rangle_0^\eta|^2 \\ &= \exp \left[-\text{Re} \int (dx)(dx') \eta(x) \gamma^0 \left(m - \gamma \frac{1}{i} \partial \right) \frac{1}{i} \Delta_+(x-x') \eta(x') \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

because $\eta_\zeta(x) \eta_{\zeta'}(x')$ is imaginary:

$$\begin{aligned} [\eta_\zeta(x) \eta_{\zeta'}(x')]^* &= \eta_{\zeta'}(x')^* \eta_\zeta(x)^* = \eta_{\zeta'}(x') \eta_\zeta(x) \\ &= -\eta_\zeta(x) \eta_{\zeta'}(x'). \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Further, γ^0 is imaginary, γ^μ/i is real, and

$$\text{Re} \frac{1}{i} \Delta_+(x-x') = \text{Re} \int d\tilde{p} e^{ip(x-x')}. \quad (4.33)$$

Acting on the latter is

$$m - \gamma \frac{1}{i} \partial \rightarrow m - \gamma p = 2m \sum_\lambda u_{p\lambda} u_{p\lambda}^* \gamma^0, \quad (4.34)$$

as we recall from (1.185a). Therefore the probability condition (unitarity) is satisfied

$$|\langle 0_+ | 0_- \rangle_0^\eta|^2 = \exp \left[- \sum_{p\lambda} \eta_{p\lambda}^* \eta_{p\lambda} \right] \leq 1, \quad (4.35)$$

where

$$\eta_{p\lambda} = \sqrt{2m d\tilde{p}} u_{p\lambda}^* \gamma^0 \eta(p). \quad (4.36)$$

4.2.1 Fields

As before, the field ψ is defined by

$$\delta W[\eta] = \int (dx) \delta \eta(x) \gamma^0 \psi(x) = \int (dx) \psi(x) \gamma^0 \delta \eta(x), \quad (4.37)$$

where the two forms are equal since the antisymmetry of γ^0 compensates for the anticommutativity of $\delta \eta$ and ψ . For noninteracting fermions,

$$W[\eta] = \frac{1}{2} \int (dx)(dx') \eta(x) \gamma^0 G_+(x-x') \eta(x'), \quad (4.38)$$

so

$$\psi(x) = \int (dx') G_+(x-x') \eta(x'), \quad (4.39a)$$

$$\psi(x) \gamma^0 = \int (dx') \eta(x') \gamma^0 G_+(x'-x), \quad (4.39b)$$

which follow from the alternative definitions in (4.37) and are directly related by the total antisymmetry of $\gamma^0 G_+(x - x')$. Because the Dirac Green's function satisfies

$$\left(\gamma^\mu \frac{1}{i} \partial_\mu + m \right) G_+(x - x') = (m^2 - \partial^2) \Delta_+(x - x') = \delta(x - x'), \quad (4.40)$$

we see that ψ satisfies the inhomogeneous Dirac equation,

$$\left(\gamma^\mu \frac{1}{i} \partial_\mu + m \right) \psi = \eta. \quad (4.41)$$