

Homework II

5.a) Assuming that P_ν & $J_{\lambda\sigma}$ are vector and tensor quantities respectively,

$$P_\nu \rightarrow P_\nu - \delta\omega_\nu{}^\lambda P_\lambda$$

$$J_{\lambda\sigma} \rightarrow J_{\lambda\sigma} - \delta\omega_\lambda{}^\rho J_{\rho\sigma} - \delta\omega_\sigma{}^\rho J_{\lambda\rho},$$

it is easy to prove that

$$W^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} J_{\lambda\sigma} P_\nu$$

is a vector:

$$W^\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} [J_{\lambda\sigma} - \delta\omega_\lambda{}^\rho J_{\rho\sigma} - \delta\omega_\sigma{}^\rho J_{\lambda\rho}] \\ \times [P_\nu - \delta\omega_\nu{}^\tau P_\tau]$$

$$= W^\mu - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} [\delta\omega_\lambda{}^\rho J_{\rho\sigma} P_\nu + \delta\omega_\sigma{}^\rho J_{\lambda\rho} P_\nu \\ + \delta\omega_\nu{}^\tau J_{\lambda\sigma} P_\tau]$$

$$= W^\mu - \frac{1}{2} J_{\rho\sigma} P_\nu \delta\omega_{\lambda\tau} [\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} g^{\tau\rho} + \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} g^{\tau\sigma} + \epsilon^{\mu\lambda\rho\sigma} g^{\tau\nu}]$$

Now we use the identity

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} g^{\tau\rho} + \epsilon^{\nu\lambda\sigma\rho} g^{\tau\mu} + \epsilon^{\lambda\sigma\rho\mu} g^{\tau\nu} + \epsilon^{\sigma\rho\mu\nu} g^{\tau\lambda} + \epsilon^{\rho\mu\nu\lambda} g^{\tau\sigma} = 0$$

(prove just like the lemma in prob. 4)

$$\text{So } W^\mu \rightarrow W^\mu + \frac{1}{2} J_{\rho\sigma} P_\nu \delta\omega_{\lambda\tau} [\epsilon^{\nu\lambda\sigma\rho} g^{\tau\mu} + \epsilon^{\sigma\rho\mu\nu} g^{\tau\lambda}]$$

$$= W^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\lambda\nu\rho\sigma} J_{\rho\sigma} P_\nu \delta\omega_{\lambda}{}^\mu$$

$$= W^\mu - \delta\omega^\mu{}_\lambda W^\lambda \quad \checkmark$$

b) For a massless particle $P^0 = |\vec{P}|$ and

$$W^0 = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{0ijk} J_{jk} P_i = J_i P_i = \vec{S} \cdot \vec{P} \\ = \lambda P^0 \text{ provided}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\vec{S} \cdot \vec{P}}{P^0}$$

$$W^i = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{i0jk} J_{jk} P_0 + \varepsilon^{ij0k} J_{0k} P_j$$

$$= -J_i P_0 - \varepsilon^{ijk} N_k P_j$$

$$\text{or } \vec{W} = -P_0 \vec{J} - \vec{P} \times \vec{N} = |\vec{P}| \vec{S} - \vec{P} \times \vec{N}$$

$$\text{But } (\vec{S} \times \vec{P}) \times \vec{P} = \vec{P} (\vec{S} \cdot \vec{P}) - (\vec{P})^2 \vec{S}$$

$$\therefore |\vec{P}| \vec{W} = -(\vec{S} \times \vec{P}) \times \vec{P} + \vec{P} (\vec{S} \cdot \vec{P}) - \vec{P} \times \vec{N} |\vec{P}|$$

$$\vec{W} = \lambda \vec{P} + \vec{P} \times \left[\frac{\vec{S} \times \vec{P}}{|\vec{P}|} - \vec{N} \right]$$

$$\text{Since } W^2 = \vec{W}^2 - W^{02} = 0$$

$$= \lambda^2 P^2 + \underbrace{[\vec{P} \times \left(\frac{\vec{S} \times \vec{P}}{|\vec{P}|} - \vec{N} \right)]^2}_0$$

$$\text{for } m \rightarrow 0, \quad \vec{N} = \frac{\vec{S} \times \vec{P}}{|\vec{P}|} + () \vec{P}$$

$$\vec{W} = \lambda \vec{P}, \quad W^\mu = \lambda P^\mu$$

$$2. \quad u_{p\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m(E+m)}} (m - \gamma p) u_{\sigma}$$

$$u_{p\sigma}^{\dagger} \gamma^0 = u_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \gamma^0 (m - \gamma p) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m(E+m)}}$$

$$\text{since } (\gamma^0)^{\dagger} = \gamma^0, (\gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu})^{\dagger} = \gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu}$$

$$\sum_{\sigma} u_{p\sigma} u_{p\sigma}^{\dagger} \gamma^0 = \frac{1}{2m(E+m)} (m - \gamma p) \underbrace{\sum_{\sigma} u_{\sigma} u_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \gamma^0}_{\frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma^0)} (m - \gamma p)$$

$$\text{Now } (1 + \gamma^0)(m - \gamma p) = (m - \gamma p) + (m + \gamma p + 2\gamma^0 E) \gamma^0$$

$$(m - \gamma p)^2 = m^2 - \underbrace{p^2}_{+m^2} - 2m\gamma p = 2m(m - \gamma p)$$

$$(m - \gamma p)(m + \gamma p) = m^2 + p^2 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So: } \sum_{\sigma} u_{p\sigma} u_{p\sigma}^{\dagger} \gamma^0 &= \frac{1}{4m} \frac{1}{E+m} (m - \gamma p) [2m + 2E] \\ &= \frac{m - \gamma p}{2m} \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

The normalization condition is

$$u_{p\sigma}^{\dagger} \gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu} u_{p\sigma'} = \frac{1}{2m(E+m)} u_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \gamma^0 (m - \gamma p) \gamma^{\mu} (m - \gamma p) u_{\sigma'}$$

Now $(m - \gamma p) \gamma^\mu = \gamma^\mu (m + \gamma p) + 2p^\mu$

$(m + \gamma p)(m - \gamma p) = 0$, so since

$$u_\sigma^\dagger \gamma^0 \underbrace{(m - \gamma p)}_{m + \gamma^0 E - \vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{p}} u_{\sigma'} = (m + E) \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}$$

because $\gamma^0 u_\sigma = u_\sigma$, $u_\sigma^\dagger \gamma^0 = u_\sigma^\dagger$

and $u_\sigma^\dagger \vec{\gamma} u_{\sigma'} = u_\sigma^\dagger \vec{\gamma} \gamma^0 u_\sigma = -u_\sigma^\dagger \gamma^0 \vec{\gamma} u_\sigma$
 $= -u_\sigma^\dagger \vec{\gamma} u_\sigma = 0$

Therefore

$$u_{p\sigma}^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu u_{p\sigma'} = \frac{p^\mu}{m} \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \quad \checkmark$$

3. Define $\gamma_5 = \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$

$$\gamma_5^2 = \underbrace{\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3}_{3 \text{ anticom's}} \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = - \underbrace{\gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^0}_{2 \text{ anticom's}} \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$$

$$\boxed{\gamma^0^2 = -\gamma^1^2 = -\gamma^2^2 = -\gamma^3^2 = 1}$$

$$= + \underbrace{\gamma^2 \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^3}_{2 \text{ anticom's}} = + \gamma^3 \gamma^3 = -1, \text{ so}$$

$$(i\gamma_5)^2 = +1 \quad \checkmark$$

$\{\gamma_5, \gamma_\mu\} = 0$ because, $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \text{ or } 3$, γ_μ anticommutes with 3 of the γ 's

and commutes with the fourth. Finally ⁶

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad \gamma_5^+ &= \gamma^3^+ \gamma^2^+ \gamma^1^+ \gamma^0^+ = \underbrace{(-\gamma^3)(-\gamma^2)(-\gamma^1)}_{\uparrow} \gamma^0 \\ &= -(\underbrace{\gamma^0 \gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^1}_{\uparrow}) = + \gamma^0 \underbrace{\gamma^1 \gamma^3 \gamma^2}_{\uparrow} \\ &= -\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = -\gamma_5 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } (i\gamma_5)^+ = i\gamma_5$$

4. Let the rest frame spinors be defined by

$$\gamma^0 v_\sigma = v_\sigma$$

$$\Sigma_3 v_\sigma = \sigma v_\sigma, \quad \sigma = \pm 1$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (\Sigma_1 \pm i \Sigma_2) v_{\mp} = v_{\pm}$$

Then if γ^0 and $\vec{\Sigma}$ are imaginary, as they are in our representation, then

$$\gamma^0 v_\sigma^* = -v_\sigma^*$$

$$\Sigma_3 v_\sigma^* = -\sigma v_\sigma^*$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (\Sigma_1 \mp i \Sigma_2) v_{\mp}^* = -v_{\pm}^*$$

These relations are satisfied if $v_\sigma^* = -i\gamma_5 \sigma v_\sigma$

$$\gamma^0(-i\gamma_5\sigma v_{-\sigma}) = +i\gamma_5\sigma\gamma^0 v_{-\sigma} = +i\gamma_5\sigma v_{-\sigma} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_3(-i\gamma_5\sigma v_{-\sigma}) &= -i\gamma_5\sigma \Sigma_3 v_{-\sigma} \quad \text{since } [\gamma_5, \vec{\Sigma}] = 0 \\ &= -i\gamma_5\sigma(-\sigma)v_{-\sigma} \\ &= -\sigma(-i\gamma_5\sigma v_{-\sigma}) \quad \checkmark\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}(\Sigma_1 \mp i\Sigma_2)(-i\gamma_5(\mp)v_{\pm}) \\ = \pm i\gamma_5 \underbrace{(\Sigma_1 \mp i\Sigma_2)v_{\pm}}_{v_{\pm}} = -(-i\gamma_5(\pm)v_{\mp}) \quad \checkmark\end{aligned}$$

5. Under a Lorentz transformation

8

$$\psi \rightarrow (1 - \frac{i}{4} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \delta\omega_{\alpha\beta}) \psi$$

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \psi^\dagger (1 + \frac{i}{4} \sigma^{\alpha\beta\dagger} \delta\omega_{\alpha\beta}) \gamma^0 \\ = \bar{\psi} (1 + \frac{i}{4} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \delta\omega_{\alpha\beta})$$

$$\text{since } \sigma^{\alpha\beta\dagger} \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 \sigma^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\text{Thus } \bar{\psi} \psi \rightarrow \bar{\psi} (1 + \frac{i}{4} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \delta\omega_{\alpha\beta}) (1 - \frac{i}{4} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \delta\omega_{\alpha\beta}) \psi \\ = \bar{\psi} \psi \text{ to first order in } \delta\omega_{\alpha\beta}$$

$\bar{\psi} \psi$ is therefore a scalar.

$$\bar{\psi} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \psi \rightarrow \bar{\psi} (1 + \frac{i}{4} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \delta\omega_{\alpha\beta}) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \\ \otimes (1 - \frac{i}{4} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} \delta\omega_{\alpha\beta}) \psi$$

$$= \bar{\psi} \left[\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{4} [\sigma^{\alpha\beta}, \sigma_{\mu\nu}] \delta\omega_{\alpha\beta} \right] \psi$$

Now $J_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies [Recall Hwk I]

$$[J_{\mu\nu}, J_{\kappa\lambda}] = i [g_{\mu\kappa} J_{\nu\lambda} - g_{\mu\lambda} J_{\nu\kappa} \\ - g_{\nu\kappa} J_{\mu\lambda} + g_{\nu\lambda} J_{\mu\kappa}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \delta \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi &= - \delta \omega^{\mu\beta} \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta}^{\nu} \psi \\ &+ \delta \omega^{\nu\beta} \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\beta}^{\mu} \psi \\ &+ \delta \omega^{\alpha\mu} \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\alpha}^{\nu} \psi \\ &- \delta \omega^{\alpha\nu} \bar{\psi} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\alpha}^{\mu} \psi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= - \delta \omega^{\mu\beta} \bar{\psi} \sigma_{\beta}^{\nu} \psi \\ &- \delta \omega^{\nu\beta} \bar{\psi} \sigma_{\beta}^{\mu} \psi \end{aligned}$$

which is just like a second-rank tensor transforms (transforms as a vector in each index).
